## RFB 2025-03 Cecil Circle Storm Drain Replacement

## Response to Questions

- Q1. The trees next to the ditch are marked with flags to leave them there. In an event where the minimal excavation will affect one or two trees in the area. Would it be acceptable to one remove one or two trees?
- A1. The trees which are to be removed are shown in drawing CD101. No other trees shall be removed without the Owner's approval.
- Q2. The 12-in culvert that is currently in place has an uphill grade then reverts back down to a downhill grade. We will be able to install the new culvert with a proper grade to ensure the entire slope will remain downhill. However, does the city have a distance of how high they want the culvert to be in the ditch? That measurement would be. From the bottom of the ditch. If the city would like to leave that decision up to the contractor when the time comes that is acceptable.
- A2. See drawing C-102
- Q3. Within the city's scope of work there was a request to utilize a surveyor to establish current existing drainage easement limits. The culvert is currently straight piped from the residential ditch to the overflow ditch. Using a simple stick and poke method I was able to find the edge of the existing limit that is supposed to be draining into the current overflow ditch. With regards to that information, would the city accept a change request to not having to use a surveyor?
- A3. The bid should be submitted in accordance with the Request for Bids (RFB) as advertised.
- Q4. In an event that there is a utility in the way of the culvert running to the ditch. Will the town/county be responsible for that? Including: reconfiguration of the utility to have the gravity fed culvert pipe path take priority.
- A.4 Refer to Article 11-Differing Subsurface Conditions of the RFB.